

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/653,023	RACCHINI ET AL.
	Examiner Michael Apanius	Art Unit 3736

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Michael Apanius.

(3) _____.

(2) Mayumi Maeda.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 March 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-11 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Proposed amendments were discussed in an effort to overcome minor informalities to place the instant application in condition for allowance. The amendments that have been agreed upon are made via the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)